

Oral Ethics Comprehensive Examination Evaluation Form

Date: _____

Doctoral Student's Name: _____

I. CRITERIA FOR DETERMINING OUTCOME

The criteria for evaluation of the exam include, but are not limited to, accuracy of the response, completeness, integration of relevant content, breadth and depth of response, organization, clarity, and professionalism of presentation, and references to relevant scholarly work.

The following scale is used to grade each question in the oral ethics comprehensive examination: 1 = fail, 2 = marginal performance, 3 = pass, and 4 = high pass.

There are four possible outcomes or "grades" for the oral ethics comprehensive exam: (a) failure; (b) pass with remediation; (c) pass, and (d) pass with distinction.

1. To pass a question, you must receive a minimum mean score of 2.5 from the average of scores given by both committee members.
2. A score of 2.5 or higher on both questions constitutes a pass.
3. A score of 2.5 or higher on one question combined with a marginal response on the second question may constitute a pass OR a pass with remediation. The faculty will determine this based on the particular strengths and weaknesses of the responses given.
4. Marginal responses on both questions (average of at least 2) constitute a pass with remediation.
5. Failure on one question (average below 2), while obtaining an average of at least three (3) points on the second question constitutes a pass with remediation.
6. Failure of one question (average below 2 points) with a marginal score on the second question (average of approximately 2) constitutes failure of the oral ethics comprehensive exam.
7. Failing both questions (average below 2) constitutes failure of the oral ethics comps exam.

II. Oral Ethics Comprehensive Exam Outcome Form

You have received two scores below from each of your two comprehensive exam committee members, who have used the above scale in grading each of your comps responses.

SCORES FROM EACH COMMITTEE MEMBER

Committee Member Names	Quest. #1	Quest. #2
Member #1: _____	_____	_____
Member #2: _____	_____	_____
Average:	_____	_____

III. COMMENTS AND FEEDBACK

IV. RECOMMENDATIONS

V. REQUIRED SIGNATURES

Committee Member #1

Committee Member #2

Doctoral Student